National Centre for the Replacement Refinement & Reduction of Animals in Research

Grant Assessment Panel: Scoring criteria for full applications

This document is intended as a guide for Panel members to score applications. It is essential that Panel members consider a range of factors when deciding on the overall score for a proposal.

1. Science/Technology Development and 3Rs potential

Panel members should consider both the excellence of the science/technology development and the likely 3Rs impact should the proposed research be successful. In order to help Panel members determine a combined score for the scientific/technology development and 3Rs potential of an application, the NC3Rs uses the scoring system shown below.

2. Overall score

Panel members are asked to score the application from a range of 1 – 10, where one is the lowest score and ten is the highest. Scores should be whole numbers (0.5 integers are not accepted). Proposals with an average score of between seven and ten are considered fundable.

The scoring system should be used to determine the overall science/technology development and 3Rs score to give an application. Panel members should refer to Annex 1 for guidance when determining descriptors. The science/technology development and 3Rs descriptors should be used to form the basis of the overall score.

SCIENCE and	POTENTIAL 3Rs IMPACT				
TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	Exceptional	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Not competitive
Exceptional	10	9	8	7	5
Excellent	9	8	7	6	4
Very Good	8	7	6	5	3
Good	7	6	5	4	2
Not competitive	5	4	3	2	1

Annex 1

The following table should be used as guidance when determining the appropriate science/technology development and 3Rs descriptors. It is not necessary to meet all of the individual criteria as this is not intended to be prescriptive but rather to provide a general framework.

Science/Technology Development		3Rs			
Exceptional		Exceptional			
-	Highly original and innovative	Potential to have a very high impact on the 3Rs e.g.:			
-	Novel methodology and design	 Replacing/reducing a large number of animals 			
-	Crucial scientific question or knowledge gap or area of	 Refining a severe procedure (even if numbers 			
	strategic importance to the UK/internationally	 affected are low) 			
-	Additional potential for high health and/or	 Applicable to other models or disciplines 			
	socioeconomic impact	 Will have a local impact on animal use with a very high 			
	Potential for high return on investment	likelihood of adoption by other groups			
-	Very high likelihood of successful delivery (risks well	nationally/internationally*			
	managed)	 Strategically important area as identified by the NC3Rs 			
Excellent		Excellent			
	Original and innovative	Potential to have a high impact on the 3Rs e.g.:			
-	Robust methodology and design (innovative in parts)	 Replacing/reducing a significant number of animals 			
-	Key scientific question or knowledge gap or area of	 Refining a severe/moderate procedure (even if 			
	strategic importance to the UK/internationally	numbers affected are low)			
-	Additional potential for significant health and/or	 Could be applicable to other models or disciplines 			
	socioeconomic impact	 Will have a local impact on animal use with a high 			
-	Valuable scientific resource	likelihood of adoption by other groups			
-	Potential for significant return on investment	nationally/internationally*			
-	High likelihood of successful delivery	 Strategically important area as identified by the NC3Rs 			
Very Good		Very Good			
-	Robust methodology and design	Potential to have a medium impact on the 3Rs e.g.:			
-	Worthwhile scientific question and/or addresses a	 Replacing/reducing a significant number of animals 			
	strategically important knowledge gap	 Refining a moderate procedure (even if numbers 			
-	High likelihood of contributing to new knowledge	affected are low) OR refining a mild procedure where			
	generation	numbers are high			
-	Resources appropriate to deliver the proposal	 Could be applicable to other models or disciplines 			
-	High likelihood of successful delivery	 Will have a local impact on animal use with the 			
	5	likelihood of adoption by other groups			
		nationally/internationally			
		 Addresses an important concern as identified by the 			
		NC3Rs			
Go	od	Good			
-	Methodologically sound study	Potential to have a medium to low impact on the 3Rs e.g.:			
-	Worthwhile scientific question with potentially useful	 Replacing/reducing a modest number of animals 			
	outcomes	 Refining a mild/unclassified procedure 			
•	Resources broadly appropriate to deliver the proposal	 Not directly applicable to other models or disciplines 			
•	Moderate likelihood of contributing to new knowledge	• Will have a local impact on animal use but unlikely to be			
	generation	adopted more widely			
•	Good likelihood of successful delivery	 Addresses a 3Rs concern 			
N		Net competitive			
NO	t competitive				
 Methodologically weak study 		Will have no (or a very low) impact on the 3Rs e.g.:			
-	Poor quality science (may also include ethical	 will not replace/reduce any animal use Deep pet refine a close if a strange stations 			
	concerns) Quantian nearly defined	 Does not retine a classified procedure Net emplicable to other module on all locations 			
	Question poorty defined	 Not applicable to other models or disciplines Will not have a least impact as a size least in the 			
	Descurees incontribute to new Knowledge generation	 will not have a local impact on animal use or be adapted by more widely. 			
	Resources inappropriate to deliver the proposal	Doos not address a 2Ps concern			

*Local impact refers to: within an applicant's own laboratory and/or institution